MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Adam Schwartz, D.C. Standard Fire Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-16-2765-01 Box Number 5

MFDR Date Received

May 11, 2016

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The following bill was audited and paid incorrectly. TDI-DWC addresses Maximum Medical Improvement (MMI) Evaluations with Rule 134.204 (J) Subsection (3), Subparagraph (C). This rule states to reimburse the examining doctor, other than the treating doctor \$350.00 for MMI evaluations. TDI-DWC addresses Impairment Rating (IR) Evaluations with Rule 134.204, Subsection (J), Subsection (C), (ii), (II). This rule states if a full physical evaluation, with range of motion, is performed, reimbursement for the first musculoskeletal body area is \$300.00 ..."

Amount in Dispute: \$150.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Provider billed a total of \$650.00 for 1 unit of 99456-W5-WP. The Carrier reimbursed the Provider \$350.00 for the Maximum Medical Improvement evaluation and \$150.00 for the impairment rating which was assigned by utilization of the DRE methodology, for a total reimbursement of \$500.00."

Response Submitted by: Travelers

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
January 19, 2016	Designated Doctor Examination	\$150.00	\$150.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 sets out the fee guidelines for division-specific services.

- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - P12 Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.
 - 309 The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance.
 - 863 Reimbursement is based on the applicable reimbursement fee schedule.
 - 193 Original payment decision is being maintained. This claim was processed properly the first time.
 - 1014 The attached billing has been re-evaluated at the request of the provider. Based on this re-evaluation, we find our original review to be correct. Therefore, no additional allowance appears to be warranted.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. What is the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for the disputed services?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

- 1. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(3), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation... (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT Code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350." The submitted documentation supports that the requestor performed an evaluation of Maximum Medical Improvement. Therefore, the correct MAR for this examination is \$350.00.
 - Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(4), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an IR evaluation. ... (C)(ii) The MAR for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows. ... (II) If full physical evaluation, with range of motion, is **performed** [emphasis added]: (-a-) \$300 for the first musculoskeletal body area." The submitted documentation supports that the requestor provided an impairment rating, which included a musculoskeletal body part, and performed a full physical evaluation with range of motion of the lumbar spine. Therefore, the correct MAR for this examination is \$300.00.
- 2. The total MAR for the disputed services is \$650.00. The insurance carrier paid \$500.00. An additional reimbursement of \$150.00 is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$150.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$150.00 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code \$134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	May 25, 2016	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.